

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

09/477,224 01/04/2000 JEREMY D.F. HINMAN 55358-012 8871  7590 01/06/2004 EXAMINER  STEVEN J. ROCCI  WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
STEVEN J. ROCCI WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP	JEREMY D.F. HINMAN	55358-012	8871	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP	7590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
	Z MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP	ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
46th		JEREMY D.F. HINMAN	JEREMY D.F. HINMAN 55358-012  EXAM DONELS,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H	
<del>2</del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7	
		09/477,224	HINMAN ET AL.	-	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jeffrey Donels	2837	<del></del>	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion.  is, a reply within the statutory minimum of ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) My statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 06 May 2003.			
′=		This action is non-final.			
,	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	allowance except for formal ma		its is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14-66</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 and 67-76 is/are rejected.	,			
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		-	
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.	
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election and the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	e	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince a specific reference was included in a 7 CFR 1.78.  Capture The translation of the foreign langua acknowledgment is made of a claim for doference was included in the first sentence.	omestic priority under 35 U.S. the first sentence of the speci- ge provisional application has omestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applification or in an Application Databeen received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spe	Sheet.	
Attachmen		<b></b>			
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 67-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosed invention is solely directed towards musical compositions and their classification, searching and retrieval and does not teach anything about the classification, search, or retrieval of the types of objects recited in these claims.

Applicant argues that this rejection is improper, because in the "Summary of the Invention" Applicant merely suggests a lists of different types of objects for which Applicant's invention "for which the described method may be applied" and states that this alone meets the requirements for 35 USC 112. Applicant further quotes a CAFC decision which restates 35 USC 112, first paragraph. These have been considered but are not convincing. In order for the description of Applicant's invention to have been enabling, for example, for the classification, search, and retrieval of perfume, the Specification and Drawings would have to have depicted e.g. various attributes of

Art Unit: 2837

perfume analogous to those which Applicant has depicted of music; e.g. tempo, weight, intensity, rhythm, emotion. None of these attributes of any of the objects listed in these claims are described in any way.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Easty et al.

Applicant argues that Easty et al. does not teach the matching of similar or identical musical compositions using a plurality of classification values that distinguish among features of the musical composition. However, Easty does in fact teach "delivery

Art Unit: 2837

of digital contents according to the category and sub-category" (Col. 3 line 34) which reads on the matching of similar musical compositions, using subcategories such as "genre or other characteristics" (Col. 4 line 13) – the subcategories here read on the plurality of classification values recited.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Katz et al.

Applicant argues that Katz et al. does not "select the first musical composition from among the plurality of other musical compositions that are similar to the first musical composition." However, Katz et al. does allow for the searching of a "keyword match in Title, Artist, Category (i.e. classification values) ... by selecting an appropriate command from tools menu 418." (Col. 6, lines 33-35).

Claims 14-66 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 703-308-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-308-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2837

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1782.

Jeffrey Donels Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 2837